

Equatorial symmetry/antisymmetry of stationary axisymmetric electrovac spacetimes

Frederick J. Ernst[†], Vladimir S. Manko[‡] and Eduardo Ruiz[§]

[†] FJE Enterprises, 511 CR 59, Potsdam, NY 13676

[‡] Depto. de Física, Centro de Investigación y de Estudios Avanzados del I.P.N.,
A.P. 14-740, 07000 México, D.F., Mexico

[§] Depto. de Física Fundamental, Universidad de Salamanca, 37008 Salamanca, Spain

Abstract. Two theorems are proved concerning how stationary axisymmetric electrovac spacetimes that are equatorially symmetric or equatorially antisymmetric can be characterized correctly in terms of the Ernst potentials $\mathcal{E}$ and Φ or in terms of axis-data.

PACS numbers: 04.20.Jb, 04.40.Nr, 95.30.Sf, 02.30.Em

1. Introduction

One of the most significant advances in the construction of physically interesting equatorially symmetric vacuum spacetimes took place in 1995, when Kordas [1] and Meinel and Neugebauer [2] independently proved a theorem that allowed one to identify such spacetimes from the Ernst potentials on the positive z -axis, the so-called *axis-data*, **before** the complete solution was constructed. That this result was not extended to electrovac spacetimes for over a decade is perhaps a measure of the difficulty of doing so.

Recently, however, Pachón and Sanabria-Gómez [3] articulated but did not prove a conjecture concerning the electrovac extension. One of the authors of the present paper was suspicious about the validity of the conjecture as stated, especially because it seemed not to take account of the fact that the spacetime geometry is not altered when the electromagnetic Ernst potential Φ is subjected to a duality rotation. Finally, we realized that their conjecture is false if one does not replace the $\pm$ of those authors by our more general coefficient $e^{2i\delta}$. With this alteration, we were able to develop what we believe is a genuine proof of the modified Pachón–Sanabria-Gómez conjecture, even including an extension to the equatorially antisymmetric case.

[†] e-mail: scientia@localnet.com

[‡] e-mail: vsmanko@fis.cinvestav.mx

[§] e-mail: eruiz@usal.es

Definition 1 *The stationary axisymmetric spacetime with line element*

$$ds^2 = f(z, \rho)^{-1} [e^{2\gamma(z, \rho)}(dz^2 + d\rho^2) + \rho^2 d\varphi^2] - f(z, \rho)[dt - \omega(z, \rho)d\varphi]^2 \quad (1)$$

will be said to be “equatorially symmetric” when there exists a choice of the origin such that under the transformation

$$z \rightarrow z' = -z, \quad \rho \rightarrow \rho' = \rho, \quad (2)$$

the metrical fields f , ω and γ are all even functions of z , and will be said to be “equatorially antisymmetric” when there exists a choice of the origin and a constant ω_0 such that under the transformation (2), the metrical fields f and γ are even functions of z , while $\omega - \omega_0$ is an odd function of z .

2. Statement and proof of fundamental theorem

Theorem 1 *A stationary axisymmetric electrovac solution of the Ernst equations*

$$(\text{Re } \mathcal{E} + |\Phi|^2) \nabla^2 \mathcal{E} = (\nabla \mathcal{E} + 2\Phi^* \nabla \Phi) \cdot \nabla \mathcal{E}, \quad (3)$$

$$(\text{Re } \mathcal{E} + |\Phi|^2) \nabla^2 \Phi = (\nabla \mathcal{E} + 2\Phi^* \nabla \Phi) \cdot \nabla \Phi, \quad (4)$$

is equatorially symmetric if and only if

$$\mathcal{E}(-z, \rho) = \mathcal{E}(z, \rho)^*, \quad \Phi(-z, \rho) = e^{2i\delta} \Phi(z, \rho)^*, \quad (5)$$

where δ is a real constant, and is equatorially antisymmetric if and only if

$$\mathcal{E}(-z, \rho) = \mathcal{E}(z, \rho), \quad \Phi(-z, \rho) = \pm \Phi(z, \rho). \quad (6)$$

In the Ernst equations the symbol ∇^2 denotes the Laplacian and $\nabla A \cdot \nabla B$ denotes a scalar product of gradients in a three-dimensional axisymmetric flat space.

Proof: Recall that the metrical fields $f(z, \rho)$, $\omega(z, \rho)$ and $\gamma(z, \rho)$ are obtained from the Ernst potentials $\mathcal{E}(z, \rho)$ and $\Phi(z, \rho)$ using the equations

$$f = \text{Re } \mathcal{E} + |\Phi|^2, \quad \chi = \text{Im } \mathcal{E}, \quad (7)$$

$$\omega_{,z} = -\rho f^{-2}[\chi_{,\rho} + 2\text{Im } (\Phi^* \Phi_{,\rho})], \quad \omega_{,\rho} = \rho f^{-2}[\chi_{,z} + 2\text{Im } (\Phi^* \Phi_{,z})], \quad (8)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_{,z} = & \frac{1}{4} \rho f^{-2} [(\mathcal{E}_{,\rho} + 2\Phi^* \Phi_{,\rho})(\mathcal{E}_{,z}^* + 2\Phi \Phi_{,z}^*) \\ & + (\mathcal{E}_{,z} + 2\Phi^* \Phi_{,z})(\mathcal{E}_{,\rho}^* + 2\Phi \Phi_{,\rho}^*)] \\ & - \rho f^{-1} (\Phi_{,\rho} \Phi_{,z}^* + \Phi_{,z} \Phi_{,\rho}^*), \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_{,\rho} = & \frac{1}{4} \rho f^{-2} [(\mathcal{E}_{,\rho} + 2\Phi^* \Phi_{,\rho})(\mathcal{E}_{,\rho}^* + 2\Phi \Phi_{,\rho}^*) \\ & - (\mathcal{E}_{,z} + 2\Phi^* \Phi_{,z})(\mathcal{E}_{,z}^* + 2\Phi \Phi_{,z}^*)] \\ & - \rho f^{-1} (\Phi_{,\rho} \Phi_{,\rho}^* - \Phi_{,z} \Phi_{,z}^*). \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

The integrability of (8) and of (9) and (10) is guaranteed by the Ernst equations (3) and (4). When we suppress the arguments of functions such as $\omega_{,z}$ and $\omega_{,\rho}$, it is to be understood that we mean those functions, the values of which are values of the

derivatives of the function ω with respect to its first argument z and its second argument ρ , respectively.

From (5), one can use (7) to show that $f(-z, \rho) = f(z, \rho)$ and $\chi(-z, \rho) = -\chi(z, \rho)$, and (by choosing the integration constant appropriately) one can use (8) to show that $\omega(-z, \rho) = \omega(z, \rho)$. Finally, (by choosing the integration constant appropriately) one can use (9) and (10) to show that $\gamma(-z, \rho) = \gamma(z, \rho)$, thus establishing equatorial symmetry. In a similar manner, starting with (6), one can establish equatorial antisymmetry.

In order to prove, conversely, that equatorial symmetry implies (5) and equatorial antisymmetry implies (6), we note that all the diagonal components of the Ricci tensor R_{ij} with respect to the orthonormal tetrad

$$e^1 = f^{-1/2} e^\gamma d\rho, \quad (11)$$

$$e^2 = f^{-1/2} e^\gamma dz, \quad (12)$$

$$e^3 = f^{-1/2} \rho d\phi, \quad (13)$$

$$e^4 = f^{1/2} (dt - \omega d\phi), \quad (14)$$

are even functions of z both in the equatorially symmetric case and the equatorially antisymmetric case, while R_{12} is an odd function of z in both cases. On the other hand, R_{34} is even in the equatorially symmetric case and odd in the equatorially antisymmetric case. All other components of the Ricci tensor vanish identically. This implies similar behaviour of the orthonormal components of the stress-energy tensor T_{ij} , from which the following *quadratic constraints* can be inferred:

- (i) $|\Phi_{,z}|^2$ is an even function of z ,
- (ii) $|\Phi_{,\rho}|^2$ is an even function of z ,
- (iii) $\text{Im}(\Phi_{,z}\Phi_{,\rho}^*)$ is an even function of z in the symmetric case, and an odd function of z in the antisymmetric case,
- (iv) $\text{Re}(\Phi_{,z}\Phi_{,\rho}^*)$ is an odd function of z .

These results follow directly from a paper of Ernst [4] that was published in 1974. There a complex null tetrad that is simply related to the above orthonormal tetrad was employed.||

The first two quadratic constraints can be expressed as

$$|\Phi_{,z}(-z, \rho)| = |\Phi_{,z}(z, \rho)|, \quad (15)$$

$$|\Phi_{,\rho}(-z, \rho)| = |\Phi_{,\rho}(z, \rho)|, \quad (16)$$

while the third and fourth constraints can be expressed as

$$\Phi_{,z}(-z, \rho)\Phi_{,\rho}^*(-z, \rho) = -[\Phi_{,z}(z, \rho)\Phi_{,\rho}^*(z, \rho)]^* \quad (17)$$

|| See the website <http://members.localnet.com/~atheneum/gs/gs.html> for the source code and output of one symbolic manipulation program in which the orthonormal tetrad components of the Ricci tensor are evaluated in terms of the metric fields f , ω and γ and their derivatives, and another program in which the orthonormal tetrad components of the stress-energy tensor are evaluated in terms of the complex potential Φ .

in the symmetric case and as

$$\Phi_{,z}(-z, \rho)\Phi_{,\rho}^*(-z, \rho) = -\Phi_{,z}(z, \rho)\Phi_{,\rho}^*(z, \rho) \quad (18)$$

in the antisymmetric case.

We note in passing that, using the chain rule, all equations can be rewritten in terms of

$$[\Phi(-z, \rho)]_{,z} := \frac{\partial}{\partial z} [\Phi(-z, \rho)] = -\Phi_{,z}(-z, \rho), \quad (19)$$

$$[\Phi(-z, \rho)]_{,\rho} := \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} [\Phi(-z, \rho)] = \Phi_{,\rho}(-z, \rho), \quad (20)$$

if one wishes to extend the use of comma notation to partial derivatives in general. In this case, to avoid ambiguity, one is obliged never to suppress the arguments of the function to which partial differentiation is applied.

Without loss of generality we may write

$$\Phi_{,z}(z, \rho) = e^{i\alpha(z, \rho)} h_1(z, \rho), \quad \Phi_{,\rho}(z, \rho) = e^{i\beta(z, \rho)} h_2(z, \rho), \quad (21)$$

and

$$\Phi_{,z}^*(z, \rho) = e^{-i\alpha(z, \rho)} h_1(z, \rho), \quad \Phi_{,\rho}^*(z, \rho) = e^{-i\beta(z, \rho)} h_2(z, \rho), \quad (22)$$

where α , β , h_1 and h_2 are all real functions of (z, ρ) .

By (15) and (16), h_1 and h_2 have definite parity, either even or odd. In the symmetric case, (17) tells us that

$$e^{i[\alpha(-z, \rho) - \beta(-z, \rho)]} h_1(-z, \rho) h_2(-z, \rho) = -e^{-i[\alpha(z, \rho) - \beta(z, \rho)]} h_1(z, \rho) h_2(z, \rho), \quad (23)$$

and in the antisymmetric case, (18) tells us that

$$e^{i[\alpha(-z, \rho) - \beta(-z, \rho)]} h_1(-z, \rho) h_2(-z, \rho) = -e^{i[\alpha(z, \rho) - \beta(z, \rho)]} h_1(z, \rho) h_2(z, \rho). \quad (24)$$

These two equations can also be expressed in the forms

$$h_1(-z, \rho) h_2(-z, \rho) = -e^{-2i[\alpha_+(z, \rho) - \beta_+(z, \rho)]} h_1(z, \rho) h_2(z, \rho) \quad (25)$$

and

$$h_1(-z, \rho) h_2(-z, \rho) = -e^{2i[\alpha_-(z, \rho) - \beta_-(z, \rho)]} h_1(z, \rho) h_2(z, \rho), \quad (26)$$

respectively, where

$$\alpha_{\pm}(z, \rho) := \frac{1}{2}[\alpha(z, \rho) \pm \alpha(-z, \rho)], \quad \beta_{\pm}(z, \rho) := \frac{1}{2}[\beta(z, \rho) \pm \beta(-z, \rho)], \quad (27)$$

are the even and odd parts of $\alpha(z, \rho)$ and $\alpha(-z, \rho)$ and of $\beta(z, \rho)$ and $\beta(-z, \rho)$, respectively.

Whatever may be the parities of $h_1(z, \rho)$ and $h_2(z, \rho)$, one can show that equatorial symmetry implies

$$\Phi_{,z}(-z, \rho) = \mp e^{2i\beta_+(z, \rho)} \Phi_{,z}(z, \rho)^*, \quad \Phi_{,\rho}(-z, \rho) = \pm e^{2i\beta_+(z, \rho)} \Phi_{,\rho}(z, \rho)^*, \quad (28)$$

while equatorial antisymmetry implies

$$\Phi_{,z}(-z, \rho) = \mp e^{-2i\beta_-(z, \rho)} \Phi_{,z}(z, \rho), \quad \Phi_{,\rho}(-z, \rho) = \pm e^{-2i\beta_-(z, \rho)} \Phi_{,\rho}(z, \rho). \quad (29)$$

If we can establish that $\beta_+(z, \rho)$ and $\beta_-(z, \rho)$ are constants, then we shall be able to conclude that

$$\Phi(-z, \rho) = \pm e^{-2i\beta_+} \Phi(z, \rho)^* \text{ in the symmetric case, and} \quad (30)$$

$$\Phi(-z, \rho) = \pm \Phi(z, \rho) \text{ in the antisymmetric case.} \quad (31)$$

Noting that both β_+ and β_- are real functions of (z, ρ) , we may conclude from (28) and (29) that

$$\Phi_{,\rho} \beta_{\pm,z} - \Phi_{,z} \beta_{\pm,\rho} = 0. \quad (32)$$

Writing the Ernst equation for Φ and the complex conjugate of that equation in the respective forms

$$\begin{aligned} & f(z, \rho) [\Phi_{,z,z}(z, \rho) + \Phi_{,\rho,\rho}(z, \rho) + \rho^{-1} \Phi_{,\rho}(z, \rho)] \\ & - [f_{,z}(z, \rho) - i\rho^{-1} f(z, \rho)^2 \omega_{,\rho}(z, \rho)] \Phi_{,z}(z, \rho) \\ & + [f_{,\rho}(z, \rho) + i\rho^{-1} f(z, \rho)^2 \omega_{,z}(z, \rho)] \Phi_{,\rho}(z, \rho) = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & f(z, \rho) [\Phi_{,z,z}^*(z, \rho) + \Phi_{,\rho,\rho}^*(z, \rho) + \rho^{-1} \Phi_{,\rho}^*(z, \rho)] \\ & - [f_{,z}(z, \rho) + i\rho^{-1} f(z, \rho)^2 \omega_{,\rho}(z, \rho)] \Phi_{,z}^*(z, \rho) \\ & + [f_{,\rho}(z, \rho) - i\rho^{-1} f(z, \rho)^2 \omega_{,z}(z, \rho)] \Phi_{,\rho}^*(z, \rho) = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

we can infer that, in the equatorially symmetric case,

$$\begin{aligned} & f(z, \rho) [\Phi_{,z,z}(-z, \rho) + \Phi_{,\rho,\rho}(-z, \rho) + \rho^{-1} \Phi_{,\rho}(-z, \rho)] \\ & + [f_{,z}(z, \rho) + i\rho^{-1} f(z, \rho)^2 \omega_{,\rho}(z, \rho)] \Phi_{,z}(-z, \rho) \\ & + [f_{,\rho}(z, \rho) - i\rho^{-1} f(z, \rho)^2 \omega_{,z}(z, \rho)] \Phi_{,\rho}(-z, \rho) = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

while, in the equatorially antisymmetric case,

$$\begin{aligned} & f(z, \rho) [\Phi_{,z,z}(-z, \rho) + \Phi_{,\rho,\rho}(-z, \rho) + \rho^{-1} \Phi_{,\rho}(-z, \rho)] \\ & + [f_{,z}(z, \rho) - i\rho^{-1} f(z, \rho)^2 \omega_{,\rho}(z, \rho)] \Phi_{,z}(-z, \rho) \\ & + [f_{,\rho}(z, \rho) + i\rho^{-1} f(z, \rho)^2 \omega_{,z}(z, \rho)] \Phi_{,\rho}(-z, \rho) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

Into (35) we can substitute (28) and

$$\Phi_{,z,z}(-z, \rho) = \pm e^{2i\beta_+(z, \rho)} [\Phi_{,z,z}^*(z, \rho) + 2i\beta_{+,z}(z, \rho) \Phi_{,z}^*(z, \rho)], \quad (37)$$

$$\Phi_{,\rho,\rho}(-z, \rho) = \pm e^{2i\beta_+(z, \rho)} [\Phi_{,\rho,\rho}^*(z, \rho) + 2i\beta_{+,\rho}(z, \rho) \Phi_{,\rho}^*(z, \rho)], \quad (38)$$

and then use the resulting equation together with (34) to show that

$$\Phi_{,z}^* \beta_{+,z} + \Phi_{,\rho}^* \beta_{+,\rho} = 0. \quad (39)$$

Similarly, into (36) we can substitute (29) and

$$\Phi_{,z,z}(-z, \rho) = \pm e^{-2i\beta_-(z, \rho)} [\Phi_{,z,z}^*(z, \rho) - 2i\beta_{-,z}(z, \rho) \Phi_{,z}^*(z, \rho)], \quad (40)$$

$$\Phi_{,\rho,\rho}(-z, \rho) = \pm e^{-2i\beta_-(z, \rho)} [\Phi_{,\rho,\rho}^*(z, \rho) - 2i\beta_{-,\rho}(z, \rho) \Phi_{,\rho}^*(z, \rho)], \quad (41)$$

and then use the resulting equation together with (33) to show that

$$\Phi_{,z} \beta_{-,z} + \Phi_{,\rho} \beta_{-,\rho} = 0. \quad (42)$$

In either case, because of the reality of $\beta_{\pm}$, we have

$$\Phi_{,z}^* \beta_{\pm,z} + \Phi_{,\rho}^* \beta_{\pm,\rho} = 0. \quad (43)$$

From (32) and (43) we infer that

$$\begin{pmatrix} \Phi_{,\rho} & -\Phi_{,z} \\ \Phi_{,z}^* & \Phi_{,\rho}^* \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \beta_{\pm,z} \\ \beta_{\pm,\rho} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (44)$$

In the case of a vacuum spacetime, where $\Phi = 0$, (5) and (6) can be established easily. If we are not dealing with a vacuum, then $|\Phi_{,\rho}|^2 + |\Phi_{,z}|^2 > 0$, so the square matrix is invertible, and we conclude that $\beta_{\pm,z} = \beta_{\pm,\rho} = 0$ and the $\beta_{\pm}$ are constants. In particular, $\beta_- = 0$.

At this point we turn our attention to (7) and (8), which can be shown to imply (5) in the equatorially symmetric case and (6) in the equatorially antisymmetric case.
QED

3. A theorem concerning axis data

Using Theorem 1 we can now establish the following extension of the 1995 result of Kordas, Meinel and Neugebauer:

Theorem 2 *An asymptotically flat electrovac solution constructed from data $e_+(z) = \mathcal{E}(z, 0)$ and $f_+(z) = \Phi(z, 0)$ specified on a connected open interval extending to $z = +\infty$ on the positive z -axis will be equatorially symmetric if and only if*

$$e_+(z)[e_+(-z)]^* = 1 \text{ and } f_+(z) = -e^{2i\delta}[f_+(-z)]^*e_+(z), \quad (45)$$

and will be equatorially antisymmetric if and only if

$$e_+(z)e_+(-z) = 1 \text{ and } f_+(z) = \mp f_+(-z)e_+(z), \quad (46)$$

where $e_+(z)$ and $f_+(z)$ have both been analytically extended from the positive z -axis to the negative z -axis, and δ is a real constant.

Please note that the analytically extended axis-data $e_+(z)$ and $f_+(z)$ are not in general, for negative values of z , equal to the axis values $\mathcal{E}(z, 0)$ and $\Phi(z, 0)$ of the Ernst potentials of the solution constructed from that axis data!

Proof: It is useful to reexpress (5) and (6) of Theorem 1 in terms of the auxiliary complex potentials [5]

$$\xi = \frac{1 - \mathcal{E}}{1 + \mathcal{E}}, \quad \eta = \frac{2\Phi}{1 + \mathcal{E}}. \quad (47)$$

In the equatorially symmetric case

$$\xi(-z, \rho) = \xi(z, \rho)^*, \quad \eta(-z, \rho) = e^{2i\delta}\eta(z, \rho)^*, \quad (48)$$

while in the equatorially antisymmetric case

$$\xi(-z, \rho) = \xi(z, \rho), \quad \eta(-z, \rho) = \pm\eta(z, \rho). \quad (49)$$

We also introduce the auxiliary potentials $\tilde{\xi}(\bar{z}, \bar{\rho})$ and $\tilde{\eta}(\bar{z}, \bar{\rho})$, where

$$\tilde{\xi}(\bar{z}, \bar{\rho}) := \sqrt{z^2 + \rho^2} \xi(z, \rho), \quad \tilde{\eta}(\bar{z}, \bar{\rho}) := \sqrt{z^2 + \rho^2} \eta(z, \rho), \quad (50)$$

and

$$\bar{z} := \frac{z}{z^2 + \rho^2}, \quad \bar{\rho} := \frac{\rho}{z^2 + \rho^2}. \quad (51)$$

In terms of these potentials, (5) assume the form

$$\tilde{\xi}(-\bar{z}, \bar{\rho}) = \tilde{\xi}(\bar{z}, \bar{\rho})^*, \quad \tilde{\eta}(-\bar{z}, \bar{\rho}) = \epsilon e^{2i\delta} \tilde{\eta}(\bar{z}, \bar{\rho})^*, \quad (52)$$

and (6) assume the form

$$\tilde{\xi}(-\bar{z}, \bar{\rho}) = \tilde{\xi}(\bar{z}, \bar{\rho}), \quad \tilde{\eta}(-\bar{z}, \bar{\rho}) = \epsilon \tilde{\eta}(\bar{z}, \bar{\rho}), \quad (53)$$

where $\epsilon = \pm 1$ and the complex coefficients m_n and q_n in the power series expansions

$$\tilde{\xi}(\bar{z}, 0) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} m_n \bar{z}^n, \quad \tilde{\eta}(\bar{z}, 0) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q_n \bar{z}^n \quad (54)$$

are directly related to the various gravitational and electromagnetic multipole moments, respectively.

From (52) and (54) it can easily be seen that, in the equatorially symmetric case, the coefficients m_n must be real for n even and imaginary for n odd, while from (53) and (54) it follows that, in the equatorially antisymmetric case, the coefficients m_n must vanish for n odd.

On the other hand, the nature of the coefficients q_n depends upon the sign of ϵ . When $\epsilon = 1$, the nature of the q_n is identical to that prescribed in the last paragraph for the m_n . When $\epsilon = -1$, the coefficients q_n must be imaginary for n even and real for n odd in the equatorially symmetric case, and the coefficients q_n must vanish for n even in the equatorially antisymmetric case. Of course, without altering the spacetime geometry one can subject Φ to a duality rotation

$$\Phi \rightarrow e^{i\delta} \Phi, \quad (55)$$

as a result of which the q_n acquire a common constant complex phase $e^{i\delta}$.

We shall apply the method of reasoning developed by Kordas, using the analytic character of $\tilde{\xi}(\bar{z}, 0)$ and $\tilde{\eta}(\bar{z}, 0)$ in a sufficiently small open neighborhood of $\bar{z} = 0$. In the equatorially symmetric case, for $z > 0$ we have

$$e_+(z) = \frac{1 - \xi(z, 0)}{1 + \xi(z, 0)} = \frac{1 - \bar{z}\tilde{\xi}(\bar{z}, 0)}{1 + \bar{z}\tilde{\xi}(\bar{z}, 0)}, \quad (56)$$

$$f_+(z) = \frac{\eta(z, 0)}{1 + \xi(z, 0)} = \frac{\bar{z}\tilde{\eta}(\bar{z}, 0)}{1 + \bar{z}\tilde{\xi}(\bar{z}, 0)}. \quad (57)$$

Analytically extending this to negative values of z , we obtain

$$e_+(-z) = \frac{1 + \bar{z}\tilde{\xi}(-\bar{z}, 0)}{1 - \bar{z}\tilde{\xi}(-\bar{z}, 0)} = \frac{1 + \bar{z}\tilde{\xi}(\bar{z}, 0)^*}{1 - \bar{z}\tilde{\xi}(\bar{z}, 0)^*}, \quad (58)$$

$$f_+(-z) = -\frac{\bar{z}\tilde{\eta}(-\bar{z}, 0)}{1 - \bar{z}\tilde{\xi}(-\bar{z}, 0)} = -e^{2i\delta} \frac{\bar{z}\tilde{\eta}(\bar{z}, 0)^*}{1 - \bar{z}\tilde{\xi}(\bar{z}, 0)^*}, \quad (59)$$

where we have used (52). Therefore, the solution will be equatorially symmetric if and only if (45) hold. The proof that the solution will be equatorially antisymmetric if and only if (46) hold proceeds in the same way. **QED**

4. Concluding remarks

One should note that (45) and (46) of Theorem 2 apply only to asymptotically flat spacetimes (up to the NUT parameter), whereas (5) and (6) of Theorem 1 apply more generally.

An example of an equatorially symmetric electrovac solution is the electrically and magnetically charged Kerr [6] solution, while an equatorially symmetric static electrovac solution is the magnetic dipole solution of Gutsunaev and Manko [7]. Finally, an example of an equatorially antisymmetric electrovac solution is the binary system of ‘antisymmetric’ Kerr–Newman masses of Bretón and Manko [8]. We hope that the theorems proved in this paper will aid in the construction of many other solutions of physical interest.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank Leonardo Pachón and José Sanabria–Gómez for sending us a preprint of their paper, and to thank anonymous referees for suggesting useful amendments to the manuscript of the present paper. This work was partially supported by Project 45946–F from CONACyT of Mexico and by Project BFM2003–02121 from MCyT of Spain.

References

- [1] Kordas P 1995 Reflection-symmetric, asymptotically flat solutions of the vacuum axisymmetric Einstein equations, *Class. Quantum Grav.* **12** 2037
- [2] Meinel R and Neugebauer G 1995 Asymptotically flat solutions to the Ernst equation with reflection symmetry, *Class. Quantum Grav.* **12** 2045
- [3] Pachón L A and Sanabria–Gómez J D 2006 Note on reflection symmetry in stationary axisymmetric electrovacuum space-times, *Class. Quantum Grav.* **23** 3251
- [4] Ernst F J 1974 Complex potential formulation of the axially symmetric gravitational field problem, *J. Math. Phys.* **15** 1409
- [5] Sotiriou T P and Apostolatos T A 2004 Corrections and comments on the multipole moments of axisymmetric electrovacuum spacetimes, *Class. Quantum Grav.* **21** 5727
- [6] Newman E T, Couch E, Chinnapared K, Exton A, Prakash A and Torrence R 1965 Metric of a rotating, charged mass, *J. Math. Phys.* **6** 918
- [7] Gutsunaev Ts I and Manko V S 1988 On a family of solutions of the Einstein–Maxwell equations, *Gen. Relat. Grav.* **20** 4. Multiply (47) by i to get our Φ . Equation (48) gives f .
- [8] Bretón N and Manko V S 1995 A binary system of ‘antisymmetric’ Kerr–Newman masses, *Class. Quantum Grav.* **12** 1969